

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/937,326	09/25/2001	Arie Cornelis Besemer	019219-013	9428
21839 7	590 09/17/2004		EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L	
	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3761	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		-tid
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/937,326	BESEMER ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensor CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most part of the part of the shortened part of	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 36(a) and the appropriate exithe from the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	·		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			

Larry I. Schwartz Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/937,326

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment comprises the combination of dependent claims that were previously not considered in combination with one another. The proposed amendment therefore requires further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are not considered persuasive. In response to the applicant's argument that Hansen fails to disclose a compound bonded to the surface of superabsorbent particles, it is noted that such a limitation is not disclosed in the instant claims. The instant claim discloses a superabsorbent material containing a non-ionic compound. The superabsorbent material of Hansen is a layer comprising superabsorbent particles, a binder, and the non-ionic compound. Since the instant claims require only an absorbent material containing the non-ionic compound, not a superabsorbent polymer bonded to the non-ionic compound, Hansen fulfills the claimed limitations.